

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/528,056	03/15/2005	Josef Seidl	1998.012US1	9037	
21186 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			HOBBS, MICHAEL L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1797			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/03/2010	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@slwip.com request@slwip.com

Application No.   Applicant(s)	
Examiner   Art Unit   MICHAEL HOBBS   1797	
All Participants: Status of Application:	
(1) MICHAEL HOBBS. (3)  (2) Michael Horvath. (4)  Date of Interview: 29 January 2010 Time: 14:50  Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ No	
(2) Michael Horvath. (4)  Date of Interview: 29 January 2010 Time: 14:50  Type of Interview:  Telephonic  Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	
Date of Interview: 29 January 2010 Time: 14:50  Type of Interview:  Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	
Type of Interview:	
Telephonic  ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:  ☐ Applicant's representative)	
Part I.	
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A	
Claims discussed: N/A	
Prior art documents discussed: N/A	
Part II.	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  A call was made to Attorney Horvath regarding a response to the Office Action mailed on 06/25/2009 and Attorney Horvath confirmed a reply was not sent.	
Part III.	
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the in directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the su of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the ir did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	stance
do not record in recordation of an issues. A unit issuintiary by the examined appeals III Falt II duove:	
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate appropriate of the control of t	riate)